

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,284	10/11/2000	Andrea C. Hughs-Baird	0112300/143	5144
29159	7590 11/05/2003		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC			ASHBURN, STEVEN L	
P. O. BOX 11 CHICAGO, I	L 60690-1135		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3714	- 1
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	24

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/686,284	HUGHS-BAIRD, ANDREA C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven Ashburn	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and single of the control of t	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		,,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancell NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	s.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	⊠ will be entered a w or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,15-34 and 36-40</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	- M/	
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>			_
		MARK SAGE PRIMARY EXAM	R IINER
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Advi:	sory Action	Part of Paper No. 2	4

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: First, the applicant request withdraw of finality because the rejection dated May 30, 2003 (paper no. 20) failed to address claim 38. Upon further review of the rejection, the claim was addressed at the bottom of page 5. Consequently, the request for withdraw of finality is denied. Second, the applicant argues that the claims distinguish over the combination of Thomas with Fier because Fier does not disclose a jackpot award if all but one of a player picks are award symbols. The examiner disagrees. Fier describes a large payout (i.e. jackpot) if all but one of a player picks are award symbols (e.g. four-of-a-kind). See col. 7, lines 50-58. Fier pays an larger jackpot if all of a player picks result in award symbols (e.g. royal flush). Notably, these features are within an artisan's ordinary knowledge that the expected payout of a gaming device is proportional to the odds of an outcome and the payout associated with the outcome.

Continuation of 10. Other: As stated in section 5, the amendment fails to overcome the prior rejection.